GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Water Resources Department – Vigilance and Enforcement Report - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then Assistant Executive Engineer for the irregularities in modernization of Mylavaram Reservoir Canals – EPC Package No.90, Kadapa district – Enquiry completed – Charges held proved – Imposition of punishment of withholding one (1) annual grade increment without cumulative effect - Orders - Issued.

WATER RESOURCES (Vig.II-V&E.A1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No.518 Dated: 23.07.2016

Read the following:

1. From the G.A. (V&E) Dept. Vigilance Report No.134(1073/V&E/E1/05), dated 13.09.2007.
2. G.O.Rt.No.1411, I&CAD (Ser.VII (V&E.A1) Dept, dated 22.08.2009.
3. From Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, Assistant Executive Engineer Written statement of defence letter No.2, dated 07.01.2010.
4. G.O.Rt.No.1210, I&CAD (Ser.VII (V&E.A1) Dept, dated 05.10.2010.
5. Govt., Memo. No.31541/Ser-VII(V&E- A.1)/2007-8, dated 05.09.2010.
6. From the CE, QC, Rayalaseema Region, Kadapa and Inquiring Authority letter No.163 CERR, dated 31.12.2010.
7. G.O.Rt.No.478, I&CAD (Ser.VII-V&E.A1) Dept, dated 25.05.2011.
8. Govt., Memo. No.31541/Ser-VII(V&E-A.1)/2007-9, dated 25.05.2011.
9. From the CE, QC, Rayalaseema Region, Kadapa and Inquiring Authority letter No.1001/2012/CE RR, dated 12.03.2012.
10. Govt., Memo.No.31541/Ser-VII(V&E-A.1)/2007, dated 17.04.2012.
11. From Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, Assistant Executive Engineer explanation, letter No.1, dated 08.09.2012.

<< >>

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, it has been brought to the notice of the Government that some Engineers of I&CAD Department have committed certain irregularities in the EPC package No.90 on Mylavaram Reservoir North Main Canal in Kadapa District, with a recommendation to initiate suitable action against them.

2. After examining the matter, it has been decided to initiate departmental action against them for the above said lapses. Accordingly, in the reference 2nd read above, disciplinary proceedings have been initiated against Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then Assistant Executive Engineer by issuing articles of charge along with others, inviting his Written Statement of Defence on the charge framed against him.

3. In the reference 3rd read above, Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then Assistant Executive Engineer has submitted his written statement of defence, denying the charge framed against him. After examining the written statement of defence of the charged officer, it has been decided to conduct a detailed inquiry into the charges framed against him as his written statement of defence is not convincing.

4. In the G.O. 4th read above, Sri B.S. Vivekanada Murthy, Chief Engineer, Quality Control Wing for Rayalasema Region, Kadapa has been appointed as Inquiring Authority to inquire into the charges framed against the said officer. Subsequently, in the reference 5th read above, Sri K. Madhava Rao, Superintending Engineer, Quality Control, TGP, Kadapa was appointed as Presenting Officer present the case on behalf of the disciplinary authority before the Inquiring Authority.

(PTO)

5. In the reference 6th read above, Sri B.S. Vivekanda Murthy, Chief Engineer, Quality Control Wing, Rayalaseema Region and Inquiring Authority has submitted his enquiry report and the enquiry report, so submitted, has not been accepted by the Government as the same is not in accordance with the procedure prescribed in the Rule 20 of APCS(CCA) Rules, 1991. In the meanwhile, Sri B.S. Vivekanda Murthy retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.12.2010, hence it has been considered to appoint another officer as Inquiring Authority to inquire into the charges framed against the above said officer to conduct a fresh enquiry as per rules in force.

6. In the reference 7th read above, Sri C. Prabhakara Rao, Chief Engineer, Quality Control Wing for Rayalasema Region, Kadapa has been appointed as Inquiring Authority to inquire into the charges framed against all the charged officers in place of Sri B.S. Vivekanda Murthy, Chief Engineer, Quality Control Wing for Rayalasema Region, Kadapa, now retired. Subsequently, in the reference 8th read above, Sri M. Venkata Ramana, Superintending Engineer, T.G.P. Circle, Kadapa has been appointed as Presenting Officer to present the case on behalf of the disciplinary authority before the Inquiring Authority Officer in place of Sri K. Madhava Rao, Superintending Engineer, Quality control, TGP, Kadapa, since retired.

7. In the reference 9th read above, the Inquiring Authority has submitted inquiry report stating that the charges framed against Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then Assistant Executive Engineer is held as proved. In the reference 10th read above, a copy of the inquiry report has been communicated to all the charged officers, including Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then Assistant Executive Engineer, with a direction to submit his explanation, if any, on the findings of Inquiring Authority.

8. In the reference 11th read above, the charged officer has submitted his explanation on the findings of Inquiring Authority with a request to drop further action against him.

9. Government, after careful examination of the entire issue, hereby impose the punishment of withholding one (1) annual grade increment without cumulative effect against Sri Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then Assistant Executive Engineer.

10. The Engineer-in-Chief(AW), Water Resources Department, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

SHASHI BHUSHAN KUMAR
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri E.C.Hussain Reddy, the then AEE through the Engineer-in-Chief(AW), Water Resources Department, Hyderabad.
The Engineer-in-Chief(AW) , Water Resources Department, Hyderabad
The Water Resources (Ser.I/Ser.II) Department.
Copy to:
The General Administrative (V&E) Department.
The Secretary to APVC.

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER